

Member, Board of Supervisors
District 2



City and County of San Francisco

MICHELA ALIOTO-PIER

June 1, 2009

David Grubb
Chairman, Board of Directors
Presidio Trust
34 Graham Street, P.O. Box 29052
San Francisco, CA 94129-0052

Dear Chairman Grubb:

On behalf of my constituents in District 2, I respectfully submit my comments on the Supplemental to the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement ("SDSEIS") for the proposed Presidio Trust Management Plan Main Post Update.

As you are aware from my first letter in October of 2007, I have been concerned about how the proposed developments would impact the surrounding neighborhoods. Specifically I requested that the environmental analysis include a thorough review of any impacts, especially as related to traffic and parking, on the surrounding neighborhoods.

I also re-established by resolution the Presidio Neighborhood Representative Work Group (PNRWG) to comment on impacts of the Presidio Trust Main Post Update (Update) on the Presidio Trust Management Plan (PTMP) and the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (DSEIS). The PNRWG recently reconvened to submit comments on the SDSEIS. Their comments have been submitted in a separate cover letter.

In comments from both the PNRWG and from the City and County of San Francisco, it is clear that the DSEIS fails to adequately consider and plan for traffic impacts on surrounding streets. As stated in the City's comments, "The traffic analysis scenarios evaluated in the EIS include only the existing condition and the year 2030 cumulative condition combined with the project-generated traffic. The SDSEIS need to provide an analysis of the existing plus project condition. Without this scenario, the reader cannot determine the project's traffic impacts on study area intersections."

I am particularly concerned with the section on traffic mitigation measures and have received several emails and letters from my constituents likewise concerned. Traffic mitigation looks primarily at adding signals to intersections, both within the Presidio and in adjoining neighborhoods. However, as stated in the PNRWG's report, the SDSEIS fails to consider the impact of diverting high volumes of traffic onto previously low-traffic residential streets. The absence of analysis regarding the effects of signalization

on residential neighborhoods is especially troublesome. Attention must be paid to increased traffic levels throughout the day, safety issues such as vehicle speeds, running of lights and pedestrian safety.

Furthermore, five of the ten intersections proposed for signalization are under the jurisdiction of the City and County of San Francisco. Because of the high cost involved, at \$350,000 per intersection, and a public process requiring public hearings, there is no guarantee that these traffic mitigation measures could even be implemented.

Thus, I remain extremely concerned, regardless of the proposed developments, that the traffic analysis in the SDSEIS does not adequately take into account nor effectively explain the impacts these developments would have on the surrounding neighborhoods.

Thank you in advance to your attention to my concerns and to the comments submitted by the PNRWG. Should you have any questions or comments, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Michela Alioto-Pier". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above the printed name.

Michela Alioto-Pier
Supervisor, District 2

cc: Craig Middleton, Executive Director, Presidio Trust
John Pelka, NEPA Compliance Manager, Presidio Trust